

Serial No. 10/751,294

**REMARKS**

After multiple telephonic messages left for Examiner Poon and for Examiner Parsley after the receipt of the Advisory Action mailed October 14, 2005, Examiner Parsley left a telephonic message informing the undersigned that the correct patent number for the Gibbons reference was Re. 7,233. A corrected PTO-892 form is hereby requested.

Now that the correct patent number has been identified, the undersigned wishes to make the following comments. Specifically, the undersigned was unable to follow the rejection based upon Gibbons, with the same reference letters of Gibbons being contended to meet separate and distinct recitations of the claims of the present application. To assist the undersigned in understanding the rejection and in the event that the rejection is continued, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner provide annotated drawings or utilize some other method to explain the rejection.

In any event, it should be readily evident that Gibbons is a completely different structure which can not function in a manner as the present invention. Just as an example, it is respectfully submitted that buckles A, A' and strap B would not be considered by a person skilled in the art to be male and female members or would be forced together to allow releasable engagement. However, in a spirit of conciliation and to advance prosecution of the present application, claims 12, 13 and 18 have been amended to further define the present invention in manner to distinguish over the prior art including but not limited to Gibbons. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of the claims have been overcome for this separate and independent reason. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

By the lack of application of the references cited in the May 13 and October 25, 2004 and January 28, 2005 Office communications and others like them within the classes or subclasses searched, the Examiner apparently recognizes the clear patentability of the present invention over any of these references.

Therefore, since the claims of the present application have been shown to include limitations directed to the features of applicant's safety device of collar for pet which are neither shown, described, taught, nor alluded to in any of the references cited by the Examiner, whether those references are taken singly or in any combination, the Examiner is requested to allow claims 4-7, 10-20, 22 and 23 as amended, of the present application and to pass this application to issue.

Serial No. 10/751,294

**REQUEST FOR TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW**

Four official actions have been mailed on the above application, all of which relied upon newly cited prior art to reject the claims. The undersigned appreciates the effort that the Patent Office has expended in this application, but Examiner Parsley should also recognize the burden placed upon the applicant in responding to four official actions as well as a telephonic interview with Examiner Parsley on May 10, 2005, resulting in amendments to the claims which were believed to place the application in condition for allowance as set forth in the last paragraph of the Amendment filed May 13, 2005.

As there was no response to telephonic messages left for Examiner Parsley after the correct patent number for Gibbons was indicated, it is formally requested that a telephonic interview be held to advance prosecution so that further official actions or amendments are not needed to move to the next level whether that be in the form of allowance or appeal. As the applicant has already responded to four separate official actions in a manner to successfully overcome the rejections made, an excessive burden has already been placed on the applicant and which should not be further increased.

Respectfully submitted,

Chih-Hsin Wang

Dated: December 6, 2005.

By: 

Alan D. Kamrath (Reg. No. 28,227)  
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.  
International Centre  
900 Second Avenue South  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402  
TEL: (612) 339-7461  
FAX: (612) 349-6556